

Table 4: The Rank Order of Problems is Based on The Problem Confrontation Index

Sl. No.	Problems	Magnitude of Problems				PCI			
		Severe (3)	Moderately Severe (2)	Negligible (1)	Not at All (0)	Total	Score	Percent	Rank
1.	Lack of Cultivable Land	0	0	9	54	63	9	4.761	14 th
2.	Lack of Quality Seed or Seedling	17	45	1	0	63	142	75.132	2 nd
3.	High Price of Seed or Seedling	3	18	4	38	63	49	25.925	6 th
4.	Lack of Proper Training	17	40	6	0	63	137	72.486	3 rd
5.	High Price of Organic or Chemical Fertilizer	0	0	7	56	63	7	3.703	16 th
6.	Lack of Quality Pesticide	0	3	8	52	63	14	7.407	11 th
7.	High Price of Pesticide	0	6	3	54	63	15	7.936	10 th
8.	Cashew Tree Is More Susceptible to Pest	0	1	8	54	63	10	5.291	13 th
9.	Insect in Fruit	0	1	6	56	63	8	4.232	15 th
10.	Less Production	2	4	18	39	63	32	16.93	7 th
11.	Fruit Dropping		4	9	50	63	17	8.994	9 th
12.	Less Market Price	16	43	3	1	63	132	69.841	4 th
13.	Thief		1	9	53	63	11	5.820	12 th
14.	Lack of Storage	9	11	10	33	63	59	31.217	5 th
15.	Lack of Processing Industry	52	6	2	3	63	170	89.947	1 st
16.	Natural Calamities or Disaster	3	8	5	47	63	30	15.873	8 th

Source: Field Survey, 2019

3.16.2 Problem Confrontation Categories

The problem confrontation scores of the cashew farmers ranged from 7 to 29, with a mean and standard deviation of 13.17 and 5.07, respectively.

Based on possible problem confrontation scores, the 63 cashew farmers have been classified into three categories, as shown in Table 5. Most of the farmers (79.4%) had low problem confrontation, while 20.6% of the farmers had medium problem confrontation. None of the farmers had high problem confrontation.

Table 5: Distribution of The Respondents According to Their Problem Confrontation Score.

Categories	Score	Distribution of Respondents (N=63)		Mean	SD	Range	
		Number	Percentages			Min.	Max.
Low Problem confrontation	1-16	50	79.4	13.17	5.07	7	29
Medium Problem confrontation	17-32	13	20.6				
High Problem confrontation	>32	0	0				

Source: Field survey, 2019

Many unsolved issues regarding cashew nut production are the outcomes of the prevalence of existing problems. Therefore, good government policy and extension measures should be undertaken to help the cashew farmers to overcome those problems so that cashew nut production gets popular and higher production could be obtained in terms of both quality and quantity for export.

3.17 Personal Socio-Economic Characteristics

In the stud area, different groups of tribal people considered 'jhum' cultivation as a part of their means of living and culture. However, as population pressure increases with time, the increasing demand for food and other necessary amenities for modern life cannot be met by outdated 'jhum' cultivation. Therefore, many farmers have started using a modern system of crop cultivation. To increase income by decreasing soil nutrition and water availability, they are cultivating high-value horticulture crops; Fruit species are familiar sources of household foods and cash incomes in the region. The principal fruit crops are banana, pineapple, papaya, jackfruit, guava, lemon, litchi, mango, cashew apple, and orange.

It was found that the majority of the respondents (66.7%) were middle-aged as compared to (6.3%) young aged and (27 %) old aged. It might be said that middle-aged people are more engaged in cashew cultivation (Table 6). Cashew farming requires laborers, which is also gender-sensitive. The entire respondent in the study was male. (Uwagboe et al., 2010) also found that most of the cashew farmers were male in a case study of Oriri L.G.A of O.Y.O. state, Nigeria. Male domain cashew farming activities were also observed in the study area because women can cultivate arable crops on their husbands' plots, while access to permanent crop production is usually restricted to men (Abubakar, 2013).

About one-fifth (23.8%) of the respondents had primary and secondary levels of education, followed by illiterate (22.2%) and (19%) can sign only. Only 3.21% of the respondents had above higher secondary level and 8% had a higher secondary level of education. It has been clear from the study that all the respondents involved in cashew production had not been highly educated (Table 6). It reveals that farmers' level of education would enhance their farming activities and level of awareness.

More than half (52.38%) of the respondents' families belonged to a medium-sized family, followed by a small family (41.27%), while only 6.35% belonged to a large family. It can be suggested that there is a great scope for using family labor for cultivating cashew as horticultural crops; many of the operations can be done by women and some by household children along with the other family members. In the Gambia, cashew nut production is men's domain activity while women are engaged in nut picking and collection (Saikou and Ebrima, 2018). In Kogi State, Nigeria, the same result was observed (Jacob et al., 2019). The majority (65.1%) of the respondents had medium farm sizes equally to small and large (17.5%). None of the respondents belongs to the landless and marginal farm categories. This result represents the study area's great scope for commercial cashew cultivation. Banerjee also suggested that the Chittagong Division in southeast Bangladesh is an area where an integrated cashew plantation project should be initiated (Banerjee, 2016). The area already has a few cashew plantations.

Most of the respondents (98%) had medium to high experience in farming, with an average experience of 27.16 years in agriculture. Moreover, 60.3% had medium and 31.8% of respondents had high experience in cashew farming, followed by low experience (7.9%) (Table 6). Agriculture farming is an already established enterprise in hill tracks, but commercial cashew

farming is a comparatively new approach for ensuring the utilization of the fallow hilly areas. These findings are in line with that of (Shivaramu et al., 2004; Veerker et al., 2006; Venkattakumar, 2006, 2008, 2009). On the other hand, the majority (41%) had low experience in cashew farming with an average experience of 10.5 years only.

These findings align with Venkattakumar but contrast with studies conducted in the same region (Venkattakumar, 2006; Veerker et al., 2006). The majority (46.0%) of the respondents belonged to the higher income group as compared to the medium (33.3%) income group and low income

(20.6%). Most (100%) of the respondents had low organizational participation. The majority of the respondents (54.8%) had medium-scale extension media contact, followed by low scale extension media contact (45.2%). None of the respondents belongs to the high contact categories (Table 6). Extension media contact was insufficient, which caused difficulty in overcoming agriculture and cashew production problems. The majority (96.8%) of the respondents expressed a favorable attitude, while 3.20% were moderately favorable and there were no respondents with a less favorable attitude. This finding also indicates prospects for cashew cultivation.

Table 6: Distribution of The Respondents Based on Selected Socio-Economic Characteristics.

Characteristics	Categories	Score	Respondents (N= 63)		Min.	Max.	Mean±SD
			Number	Percent			
Age (Year)	Young	≤35	4	6.3	32	68	46.66±7.87
	Middle	36-50	42	66.7			
	Old	>50	17	27			
Educational qualification (Schooling year)	Illiterate	0	14	22.2	0	14	4.45±4.42
	Can sign only	0.5	12	19			
	Primary	1-5	15	23.80			
	Secondary	6-10	15	23.80			
	Higher Secondary > Higher Secondary	11-12 >12	5 2	8 3.20			
Family size (Number)	Small	≤4	26	41.27	2	12	4.94±1.44
	Medium	5-6	33	52.38			
	High	>6	4	6.35			
Farm size (ha)	Landless	<0.02	0	0	0.24	7.00	2.19±1.35
	Marginal	0.02-0.20	0	0			
	Small	0.21-1.00	11	17.5			
	Medium	1.01-3.00	41	65.1			
	Large	>3.00	11	17.5			
Experience in agriculture (Year)	Low	≤10	1	1.59	5	45	27.16±7.58
	Medium	11-20	14	22.22			
	High	>20	48	76.19			
Experience in cashew cultivation (Year)	Low	≤5	5	7.9	4	20	9.83±3.36
	Medium	6-10	38	60.30			
	High	>10	20	31.80			
Annual income ('000'BDT)	Low	≤120	13	20.60	100	626	221.777±122.434
	Medium	120.001-150	21	33.30			
	High	≥150	29	46.00			
Organizational participation (Score)	Low	≤7			0	5.00	1.06±1.50
	Medium	8-14	63	100			
	High	>14					
Extension Contact (Score)	Low	≤13	53	84.12	1	3	1.31±0.53
	Medium	14-26	10	15.87			
	High	>26	0	0			
Attitude towards cashew cultivation (Score)	Less favorable	≤7	0	0	12	25	19.85±2.16
	Moderate	8-14	2	3.20			
	Favorable	>14	61	96.80			
	Favorable						

Source: Field survey, 2019

3.18 Relationship and Contribution of Variables Towards Income From Cashew

The findings related to the relationship of selected characteristics and their contribution toward farmers' earned money from cashew and the problem score appear in Table 7. Among 19 selected characteristics, farmers' education, annual income, income from agriculture, farm size, land suitable for cashew, land under cashew, Number of the cashew tree, Number of the fruiting cashew tree, total production(t), sold cashew (kg) attitude and income from cashew (B.D.T.ha⁻¹) had a significant positive contribution towards earned money from cashew. Sajeev also found cultivable land available, the Number of yielding cashew trees, net income

from agriculture, and significant relationship with the socio-economic impact of cashew cultivation (Sajeev, 2015). Land under fruit at home had a significant negative relation with income from cashew. This result implies that land under the home is already occupied by different fruit trees in the study area. The Number of fruit per kg showed a negative Correlation with earned money from cashew indicates the quality of fruits, which means less Number of fruits in a kg get more prices in the market. The problem score had only a significant negative Correlation with land suitable for cashew cultivation (ha) which indicates that as suitable land is available for cashew cultivation, the problem score is less and there is an excellent scope for cashew cultivation.

Table 7: Relationship and Contribution of Selected Characteristics Towards Farmers' Income from Cashew and Problems (Pearson's Product Moment Coefficient of Correlation).

Personal Socio-economic Characteristics and Cashew Tree Characteristics (Independent Issues)	Correlation Coefficient (r) of Earned Money from Cashew	Correlation Coefficient (r) of Problem Score
Education (Years)	0.473**	-.152 NS
Annual Income (BDT)	0.696**	.118 NS
Income From Agriculture (BDT)	0.824**	.104 NS
Farm Size (Ha)	0.415**	-.177 NS
Land Suitable for Cashew Cultivation (Ha)	0.854**	-.263*
Land Under Cashew Cultivation (Ha)	0.982**	-.155 NS
Land Under Fruit at Home (Ha)	-0.291*	-.017 NS
Number of Cashew Tree	0.800**	-.209 N.S.
Number of Fruiting Trees	0.982**	-.155 NS
Age of Tree (Years)	0.103NS	-.039 NS
Yield Tree ⁻¹ kg	0.216NS	.010 NS
Fruit Number Kg ⁻¹	-0.287*	-.204 NS
Total Production(T)	1.000**	-.140 NS
Sold Cashew (Kg)	1.000**	-.140 NS
Attitude (Score)	0.377**	-.045 NS
Earned Money from Cashew (BDT)	1	-.140NS
Problem Confrontation (Score)	-0.140NS	1
Yield (Tha ⁻¹)	0.216NS	-.028 NS
Income from Cashew (BDT Ha ⁻¹)	.281*	-.119 NS

NS=Non-significant.*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2tailed).**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2tailed).

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Cashew cultivation status was found 'not up to the mark' in the study area. Most of the farmers (65%) owned medium-size farms, and cultivated cashew varieties were local (89%) in origin. The cashew yield was medium (1.40 to 1.80 t ha⁻¹), with an individual tree yield ranging from 3 to 8 kg. More than two-thirds (68.3%) of the farmers earned BDT 1,20,001-1,80,000 ha⁻¹ from cashew cultivation. An average of 0.89 ha of land could still be taken under cashew cultivation. The net profit potential of 8,34,242 BDT ha⁻¹ (equivalent to 9,706.69 USD) is possible from cashew cultivation which is much higher than other crops. This proves that the prospect of cashew cultivation is of high economic potential. Farmers confronted problems medium. Lack of processing for nuts and apples was the highly severe problem, followed by lack of quality seed or seedlings. Among 19 selected characteristics of the respondents, education, annual income, income from agriculture, farm size, land suitable for cashew, land under cashew, cashew variety, number of the cashew tree, fruiting tree, total production per tree and attitude had a significant positive relationship and home under fruit showed a significant negative relationship with income from cashew. So there is an excellent scope for cashew cultivation in Bangladesh. If the government takes the necessary step to ensure the correct market price of cashew through the processing center or industry and the proper marketing channel, then cashew will be an essential cash crop for Bangladesh. Furthermore, the findings suggest that the government should improve knowledge, adoption and attitude towards cashew cultivation and increase cashew yield in Bangladesh.

REFERENCES

- Abubakar, M., 2013. Assessment of the potential of Gum Arabic as an Economic crop among farmers in Sokoto state. Unpublished MSc Thesis. Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, University of Ibadan, Pp.1-81.
- Adiga, J.D., Kalaivanan, D., 2017. Cashew Based Cropping Systems. Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences, 3 (4), Pp. 1-13.
- Ahammad, R., Stacey, N., 2016. Forest and agrarian change in the Chittagong Hill Tracts region of Bangladesh, in Deakin, L., Kshatriya, M.& Sunderland, T.(Eds.), Agrarian change in tropical landscapes, Center for International Forestry Research, Pp. 190-233. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292151344>
- Ajayi, O.C., Place, F., 2012. Policy Support for Large-Scale Adoption of Agroforestry Practices: Experience from Africa and Asia, in Nair, P. K.

R & Garrity, D. (Eds.), Agroforestry—The Future of Global Land Use, Springer, Netherlands, Pp. 175–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4676-3_12

Akinwale, T.O., 2000. Cashew apple juice: Its use in fortifying the nutritional quality of some tropical fruits. European Food Research and Technology, 211 (3), Pp. 205–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002170050024>

Akter, T., Parvin, M.T., Mila, F.A., Nahar, A., 2019. Factors determining the profitability of rice farming in Bangladesh: Profitability analysis of rice farming in Bangladesh. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University, 17 (1), Pp. 86–91.

Ali, S., 2021. Bangladesh moves to enter global cashew nut market. The Business Standard, August 07, 2021. <https://www.tbsnews.net/economy/bangladesh-moves-enter-global-cashew-nut-market-284584> (accessed 09 August, 2021)

Asaduzzaman, E.A.M., Shabuj, M., 2020. Cashing in on cashew nuts. The Daily Star, December 29, 2020. <https://online.thedailystar.net/business/news/cashing-cashew-nuts-2018805> (accessed 09 July 2021)

Awal, M.A., Uddin, M.M., 2021. Potentials of cashew nut farming in Bangladesh. The Bangladesh Post, July 09, 2021. <https://bangladeshpost.net/posts/potentials-of-cashew-nut-farming-in-bangladesh-63874> (accessed 09 July, 2021)

Banerjee, R., 2016. Geographical Areas for Cashew Plantations in Bangladesh Looking beyond the surface on trade and investment. The Asia Perspective, April 01, 2016. <http://asiaperspectives.com/2016/04/01/geographical-areas-for-cashew-plantations-in-bangladesh> (accessed 09 July 2020)

Cavalcante, M.A.A., Cavalcante, A.A.M., Rubensam, G., Picada, J.N., da Silva, E.G., Moreira, J.C.F., Henriques, J.A.P., 2003. Mutagenicity, antioxidant potential, and antimutagenic activity against hydrogen peroxide of cashew (Anacardium occidentale) apple juice and cajuina. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis, 41 (5), Pp. 360–369.

Chakma, J., 2020. BSRM to invest Tk 50cr in cashew nut processing plant. The Daily Star, October 26, 2020. <https://www.thedailystar.net/business/news/bsrm-invest-tk-50cr-cashew-nut-processing-plant-1984409> (accessed on 29 October, 2020)

- Department of Agricultural Extension-Government Of the People's Republic of Bangladesh (n.d.). Retrieved December 19, 2021, from <http://www.dae.gov.bd/>
- Guledgudda, S.S., 2005. Production and Export performance of cashew an economic analysis, PhD Thesis, Department of Agricultural, Economics College of Agriculture, Dharwad University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Pp. 580-005. <https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810107846>
- Heinrich, M., 2012. Case study of the African Cashew Initiative – Focus: Ghana. The Donor Committee for Enterprise Development, Pp.32.<http://www.value-chains.org/dyn/bds/docs>
- Irani,B., 2020.Bangladesh is fertile ground for cashew cultivation. The Dhaka Tribune, December 01,2020. <https://www.dhakatribune.com/business/commerce/2020/12/01/bangladesh-is-fertile-ground-for-cashew-cultivation>, (accessed on December 1,2020)
- Islam, M., Islam, S., Islam, M., Billah, M., 2019. Exploring Women Participation in Small-scale Dairy Farming: A Case of Paikgachha Upazila, Khulna, Bangladesh. Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences, 3 (4), Pp.1–13.
- Jacob, J.P., Olaleye, R., Umar, I., Tsado, J.H., Usman, M., 2019. Analysis of Socio-Economic Benefits Derived from Cashew Production in Kogi State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Economics, Extension & Social Sciences, 2 (1), Pp. 36 – 42. <http://www.unijos.edu.ng/jaeess>
- Kangde, L., Shibang, L., Suisheng, D., 1998. Integrated Production practices of Cashew in China. Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Science, Danzhou City, Hainan Province 571737, China.<https://www.fao.org/3/ac451e/ac451e03.htm>
- Kapinga, F.A., Kasuga, L.J.F., Kafiriti, E.M., 2017. Growth and Production of Cashew Nut. Soils, Plant growth and Crop Production, Pp. 1-10.
- K.G.F., 2011. Export Potentials of High Value Crops from Bangladesh: An assessment based on global market analysis. KGF Bull. 10, Pp.1-4. <http://kgf.org.bd/>
- Lakshmisha, R., 2000. Impact of cashew demonstrations on knowledge, adoption and yield levels of farmers in DakshinaKannada district. M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis (Unpub), University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore
- Lakshmana, Naik, A.P., Goudar, S.A., Chandrashekar, K.R., 2018. Performance of cashew varieties in coastal zone of Karnataka. Environment and Ecology, 36 (1A), Pp. 188–191.
- Lay, M.M., 1998. Integrated Production Practices of Cashew in Myanmar. Integrated Production Practices of Cashew in Asia, in Minas, K. P., Edward M. H., (eds),AGRIS since, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand.Pp.1-83.<https://www.fao.org/3/ac451e/ac451e06.htm#bm06>
- Mahmud, T., Myers, M.A., 2019. Bangladesh Going Nutty for Almonds and Walnuts, USDA Foreign Agricultural Service, GRAIN Report Number: BG 1905, Available at: <https://www.fas.usda.gov/data/bangladesh>. (accessed 29 October, 2019)
- Milad, M., 2020.Cashew Nut yield is Increasing, New Entrepreneurs are increasing), The Daily Prothom Alo, August 15, 2020. <https://www.prothomalo.com/economy/>. (accessed on 15 August, 2020)
- Mukul, A., Johir, R.S., Masudul, H., 2013. Farmer's Profitability of Potato Cultivation at Rangpur District The Socio-Economic Context Of Bangladesh. International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences, 1 (3), Pp. 136-144. <http://doi:10.11648/j.ijefm.20130103.12>
- Nakasone, H.Y., Paull, R.E., 1998. Tropical Fruits. CAB International, U.K. Pp. 432.
- Ona, A.F., Amin, M., Emteas, M.A., Ahmed, H., Jamal, U.A.F.M., 2017. Performance of eight cashew nut (*Anacardium occidentale*) germplasm in Bangladesh. International Journal of Business, Social and Scientific Research, 5 (4), Pp. 175-182 <http://www.ijbssr.com/currentissueview/14013231>
- Rahman, M., Khatun, M., Miah, M. A., 2019. Profitability analysis of mango cultivation and its impact on farmer's livelihood in some areas of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, Pp. 44. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v44i1.40937>
- Saikou, E.S., Ebrima, K., 2018. Cashew Production as Livelihood Improvement to Small-holder Producers in North Bank Region of the Gambia. Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology, 28 (1), Pp. 1-7.
- Sajeev, M.V., Saroj, P.L., 2015. Social and economic benefits of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*) cultivation in Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka: An analysis of the impact, its determinants and constraints. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science, 85 (6), Pp. 821–826. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329208571>
- Shivaramu, K., Manjunatha, D., Mohan, E., Guruprasad, T.R., 2004. Impact of cashew demonstration on adoption of recommended cultivation practices by farmers. The Cashew, 18 (2), Pp.16-21.
- SRDI(Soil Resources Development Institute). 1986. Reconnaissance soil and land use survey, Chittagong hill tracts [Bangladesh] 1964-65. AGRIS since, Pp. 206.<https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=BD8625103>
- Subsainghe, S., 2004. Development of salt affected loads in southern Sri Lanka with special reference to Humbantola district. Proceedings of the second Academic sessions, Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka. Pp. 1-7.
- Surendra, G.B.B., 1998. Integrated Production practices of Cashew In Sri Lanka. AGRIS since, Pp. 1-12. <https://www.fao.org/3/ac451e/ac451e08.htm#bm08>
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development), 2021. Commodities at a glance Special issue on cashew nuts. no. Pp. 14., <https://www.un-ilibrary.org/content/books/9789210053839>
- Uwagboe, E.O., Adeogun, S.O., Odebode, S.O., 2010. Constraints of farmers in cashew production: A case study of Orire L.G.A. of Oyo State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science, 5 (4), Pp. 27–31.
- Veerkar, P.D., Wadkar, S.S., Bhosale, S.S., Bagade, S.R., 2006. Constraint analysis of cashew production in South Konkan region of Maharashtra. The Cashew, 20 (3), Pp. 11-22.
- Venkattakumar, R., Sreekanth, P.D., Muralikrishna, H., 2004. Analysis of state-wise availability of cashew grafts. The Cashew, 18 (4), Pp. 9-17.
- Venkattakumar, R.,2006. Socio-Economic Impact of Cashew Cultivation in Kannur District of Kerala, India. Journal of Plantation Crops,34 (3), pp: 709-714.
- Venkattakumar, R., 2008. Socio-economic impact of cashew cultivation in Sindhudurg district of Maharashtra. Journal of Plantation Crops, 36 (2),Pp. 117-122.
- Venkattakumar, R., 2009. Socio-Economic Factors for Cashew Production and Implicative Strategies: An Overview. Indian Research. Journal of Extension Education, 9 (3), Pp. 55-62.
- Verma, P., Nag, S., Patil, S., 2014. Comparative economics of cashew nut kernel processing technology in Bastar region of India. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, Pp. 39. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v39i1.20166>
- Ware, M., 2018. Health benefits of cashews. The Medical News Today, June 18, 2018, <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/309369>.(accessed on 15 August, 2020)
- Zaman, M.M., Huq, A.S.M.A., Chowdhury, M.J.A., 2006. Production potentiality of summer tomato in Jamalpur region. International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production, 1 (2), Pp. 12–15.